Some people say that parents should encourage their children to take part in organized group activities in their free time. Others say that it is important for children to learn how to occupy themselves on their own. Discuss both these views and give your opinion?

Keeping children occupied in their spare time, nowadays, has attracted a lot of attention. A bone of connection contention, however, arises in this respect as to whether children are at liberty to choose what to do or the responsibility is encumbered upon their parents to direct them to group events. In my view, training children to engage in group activities is incumbent mostly upon their parents.

To begin with, children should learn communal activities more or less equally to boost their social qualities. This end can be met by parents through some ways. They can enroll their kids in classes of painting, arts, and language, to name a few. Afterwards, the children, in turn, acquire_explore and enhance their latent talents out of which they can build a status symbol in their future. What is more, these skills boost their confidence so that they can face the upcoming hardships in their future.

The opponents, however, opine that children at some point are left alone as their parents or friends are preoccupied enough to not playing with them. In that case, they would be better off keeping themselves amused with some useful activities like painting and reading. To explain, they posit that these activities not only make them busy but help them discover their potential.

In conclusion, it seems that the latter argument is flawed and rejected as the opponents claim that children inherently tend to engage in futile activities like sitting glued to the TV; So, it might be more suitable for them to be being encouraged and supervised by their parents to take part in social settings.